

MALNAD DEVELOPMENT BOARD FOR IMPROVEMENT OF MALNAD AREA

852 SRI A. G. KODGI (Baindur).—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state.

whether there is any proposal before Government to constitute Malnad Development Board for the improvement of Malnad area of the State ?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS (Chief Minister).—

No, Sir

But the Government of India is thinking of Western Ghat development including the coastal area.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE.

Re: Mal-administration of Virupaksheshawaraswamy Temple at Hampi.

SRI B. SATYANARAYANA SINGH (Hospet).—I call the attention of the Hon. Minister for Revenue to the mal-administration of Virupaksheswara Swamy Temple at Hampi.

SRI N. HUTCHAMASTHY GOWDA (Minister for Revenue).—I state as follows:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>(1) No lease amounts have been collected from the lessees of about 58 acres of wet land belonging to the temple for the last 2 years.</p> | <p>Sri Virupakshaswamy Temple at Hampi is having 57-87 acres of wet land and all the lands are being leased out in public auction and the annual lease demand is fixed in terms of kinds like sugarcane and paddy. The total lease is 369-42 tons of sugarcane or 369-42 guries of paddy whichever is grown in the land. For D C. B. purpose the value of kind is converted into cash and taken to demand register. The total average annual demand in terms of cash works out of Rs. 25,000 per annum. The temple authorities have collected a sum of Rs. 9,258-84 during last two years and the total arrears to be collected as on 1st July 1972 in Rs. 86,842. Action is being taken by the temple authorities to file suits for collection of arrears.</p> |
|--|---|

(Sri Santanarayana Sing

- (2) Joint operation of funds of the temple contrary to the provisions of the scheme passed in O.P. No. 624/51 by the Civil Judge, Bellary. The Endowment Commissioner, Bangalore, in his O. M. No. EST. 242/70-71, dated 13th May 1971 placed the temple clerk as incharge Executive Officer as the Executive Officer of Sri Virupakshaswamy Temple. Sri P. B. Patil has been promoted as Manager in the Office of the Deputy Commissioner, H. R. & C. E., Mangalore. The Deputy Commissioner, H.R. & C.E. Bellary, in his Order No. 61/71-72 DVS/R8, dated 15th June 1971 has authorised the Agent to hereditary Trustee of Sri Virupakshaswamy Temple, Hampi for countersigning the cheques issued under the Signature of the I/c. Executive Officer until further Orders. The same procedure was ordered to be continued further by the Deputy Commissioner, H.R. & C. E. in his letter dated 10th May 1972. The Deputy Commissioner has powers to issue such orders under clause 17 of the Scheme settled by the Sub Court, in O.P. No. 624/51, dated 28th April 1953.
- (3) Lease of lands belonging to the temple have expired by Fasli 1380. No fresh auctions for leasing of land has been held. There are 13 tenants cultivating these lands. Out of 13 tenants the lease period of 8 tenants is upto Fasli 1382 to 1384 and the term of remaining five tenants has expired in F. 1380. These five tenants are continued at the same rent by the temple authorities as they were cultivating the said lands since a long time, and it is the accepted policy not to dispossess tenants who are paying rents regularly. The rent cannot be enhanced in view of section 8 of Mysore Land Reforms Act.

- (4) The preparation of 'Gajavahana' and 'Aswavahana' the missing silver and different tail than the records of 'Aswavahana'.

During the inspection of the temple by the Deputy Commissioner, Hindu Religious & Charitable Endowments, Bellary in the year 1970, The Deputy Commissioner, H R & C.R suggested to get silver Vahana prepared out of the silver available in the temple. As per the suggestion of H. H. the Swamier of Sri Vidyaranya Peeta, the hereditary trustee of the temple, the Executive Officer called the tenders for manufacturing the following Vahanas:—

Vahanas:

1. Gajavahana
2. Aswavahana
3. Chandramandala

The Deputy Commissioner, Hindu Religious & Charitable Endowments, Bellary in his Order No. 10939/70-71 DVS/R3, dated 2nd December 1970 has approved the lowest quotation of one Sri G. Armuga Achary at Rs. 2-25 per tola being the making charge and ordered to prepare the above said Vahanas of 1000 tolas of silver each.

Some discrepancies have been noticed in the weight of silver given to the silversmith and the silver actually used for the Vahanas. This is under investigation.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸತ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣಸ್ವಾಮಿಂಗ್:—ಈ ವರದಿ ನೋಡಿದರೆ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಆಡಳಿತ ಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಕುಸಿದಿರುವೆಂದು ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುವುದು. ನಾಲ್ಕು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಲಕ್ಷ ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಚಿಬ್ಬರೆ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲಾಗಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಬರಲು ಕಾರಣರಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿ ಹೊರಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವಿರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ:—ನಮ್ಮ ಎಂಡೋಮೆಂಟ್ ಕಮೀಷನರನ್ನು ಕಳಿಸಿ ವಿವರ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸತ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣಸ್ವಾಮಿಂಗ್:—ಇಬ್ಬರು ಆಡಳಿತ ನಡೆಸುವುದರಿಂದ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಒಬ್ಬರೇ ಆಡಳಿತ ನಡೆಸುವಂತೆ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡುವಿರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ:—ಹಾಗೆ ಒದಲಾಯಿಸಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಅದು ಇಲಾಖೆಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗುವುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಸತ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣಸ್ವಾಮಿಂಗ್:—ಬಾಗಾರದ ಒಡವೆ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳಾಗಿರುವುದು ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿದೆ. ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯ ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳು ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲದಿಂದ ಕಂಡು ಬಂದಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಯಾವಾಗ ಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ:—ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ ಸಾಮಾನು, ಬಂಗಾರದ್ದಲ್ಲ. ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ ಸಾಮಾನು ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಲೋಪದೋಷ ಕಂಡು ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಬಂದಿದೆ. ವಿವರ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಎಂಡೋಮೆಂಟ್ ಕಮೀಷನರನ್ನು ಕಳಿಸಿ ವಿವರವಾಗಿ ತನಿಖೆ ನಡೆಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗುವುದು.

MADAM SPEAKER:—As regard the other two call attention notices, the hon. members are not here.

CONSIDERATION OF THE REPORTS OF MPSC FOR 1967-68, 1968-69 AND 1969-70.

MADAM SPEAKER:—Next business Consideration of the Reports of the Mysore Public Service Commission for the years 1967-68, 68-69 and 69-70.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS (Chief Minister) :—Sir, I beg to move;

“That the Reports of the Public Service Commission for the years 1967-68, 1968-69 and 1969-70 be taken for consideration.”

MADAM SPEAKER :—Motion moved :

“That the Reports of the Public Service Commission for the years 1967-68, 1968-69 and 1969-70 be taken into consideration.”

†SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM (K G F.):—Madam Speaker, if the latest report of the Commission is not before the House, what is the use of discussing this report relating to 1967-68. These reports ought to have been placed before the House by the previous Govts. Why they have not placed is the question. Let us have some explanation. I must say that this is a sad commentary on the functioning of the P.S.C. If the latest report is not ready, it is no good discussing these old reports. What is the good of having a Public Service Commission apart from its functioning good or bad. I think it is the habit to appoint all retired officers to this commission and some concession is shown them. Such of those who are not wanted and those who have tried their best to do injustice, they have been sent to the Public Service Commission. Are they worth functioning as the Public Service Commission? I object to this and I object to the way in which the Assembly has been treated.

MADAM SPEAKER:—When the hon. member gets the chance to speak, he may say this.